Abstract

Taking into consideration the importance of quality control in science, the current research focuses on the study and diagnosis of the mechanism for quality control of social science. The research is an attempt to diagnose the peer review process of scientific research submitted for publication in scientific periodicals, and to identify its dimensions, the quality of requirements of peer review by the concerned parties (editor - reviewer-researcher), and the appropriate peer review process, and to form a model to assess research documents.

The research is descriptive and analytical, based on a set of methodological procedures. It is based on a comprehensive survey of a group of professors and associate professors who have acted as reviewers:

The Research sample consists of 111 units. The research uses a codified standard in the form of a questionnaire, in addition to in-depth interviews.

Data was statistically analyzed. The main results of the research are: Weakness in the peer review process in terms of the level of reviewer,s material and non-material rewards, the level of objectivity, credibility, and means of communication between the involved parties, and the research also pointed to the importance of the ethical dimensions of the review process. Finally, the research proposed a guiding model for assessing research manuscripts.